

**Peer review from anonymous.**

**Distinct Genre Features** -- I liked how you added the star rating at the top as well as the director, TV rating, and movie length. When I clicked on the link at the button where it said publication modeled, it didn't bring me to a film review, so I would look into that. Since I am not really sure what film review it is modeled after I can't really identify any fixed or flexible features.

**Distinct Audience** -- The target audience of this piece was young adults or older teens. It was definitely clearly said in the film review at the end. I think maybe you could write a little bit more to the target audience and try to make it more personal to them.

**Clear, Specific Purpose** --I think that you did a really good job with the hook of this film review and a really good job with the brief summary. I think that you should add a little bit more about the argument and what you liked and didn't like about the film. You should also talk about why the audience should watch this film.

**Supportive Evidence/Development** -- I liked that you used a direct quote from the film in your film review. You should add a little more context behind it and explain what it means and why you choose to add that quote in

**Logical Structure/Organization** --I Think you should definitely add more of your argument on why we should watch this. I think you did a great job with your quick summary.

**Parenthetical Citations/Hyperlinks** – You added all the links and stuff at the end but I think you should add them throughout the film review. I also think you should add the time tsamp on the quote and explain a little more behind it and what it has to do with the film.

**What stood out to you most from the writer's film review, or what are the author's greatest strength(s)?**

I really liked your hook. It was really good and really made me more intrigued to read about the film. I also think your summary was very well done. It was short and right to the point which is really good.